## CALIBRATION PROTOCOL

## Purpose

To calibrate our scoring of student work as we explore the instructional implications of the prompt/task, student work, and rubric.

## Planning

- Time: 35-40 minutes
- Group size: 4-8
- Materials needed for each person:
o Sample work and prompt/task
o Task rubric
o Score sheet or task rubric can be used for scoring
o One extra score sheet is needed for the recorder, who will tally the scores for the whole group.
- Roles: Choose a facilitator, timekeeper, and recorder. (1 minute)


## Setting Norms

- Honor our learning and be respectful of the work of the teacher and the student.
- Keep the conversation constructive; avoid judgmental language.
- Be appreciative of the facilitator's role and follow the guidelines and time constraints.
- Keep feedback crisp and to the point.
- Don't skip the debrief process.


## Process

1 Norms: The facilitator reviews the protocol process and norms with the group. (2 minutes)
2 Examination: Group members silently examine the prompt, student work, the rubric, and the score sheet. (3 minutes)

3 Clarifying questions: The group asks any clarifying questions they have about the materials and process. (2 minutes)

4 Read and score: Using the rubric, group members independently and silently read and score the student work, recording their scores on the score sheet and making notes to justify their scores. ( 10 minutes)

5 Score sharing: One at a time, team members share their scores for each of the rubric categories-without explanation-as the recorder completes the group's score sheet. ( 2 minutes)

6 Discussion: Facilitator invites the group to consider where the differences in the scores occurred and why people scored differently for each rubric area-particularly the highest and lowest scores. (Approximately 2 minutes per criterion: 8 minutes)

7 Debrief: Discuss the following questions (approximately 2 minutes per question: 8 minutes):
o What did we notice about scoring student work and the rubric?
o What would be the next steps for instructing this student?
o What revisions should be made to the task and instructions?
o What are the implications for our instructional practice?

