

## NTN Knowledge and Thinking Rubric for ELA Analysis, Grade 12

The ability to reason, problem-solve, develop sound arguments or decisions, and create new ideas by using appropriate sources and applying the knowledge and skills of a discipline.

	EMERGING	E/ D	DEVELOPING	D/ P	PROFICIENT College Ready	P/ A	ADVANCED College Level
<b>ARGUMENT/THESIS</b> What is the evidence that the student can communicate an argument/thesis?	• Argument/Thesis is unclear and may reflect passive reading or erroneous thinking		<ul> <li>Argument/Thesis is evident, but general and reflects passive reading or thinking</li> </ul>		Argument/Thesis is clear and demonstrates engaged reading and critical thinking		<ul> <li>Argument/Thesis is clear and demonstrates engaged reading and nuanced critical thinking</li> </ul>
<b>CLAIMS/SUPPORTING IDEAS</b> What is the evidence that the student can develop claims that support the argument/thesis?	Includes unclear or irrelevant claims/supporting ideas		<ul> <li>Includes claims/supporting ideas relevant to the argument/thesis</li> </ul>		☐ Includes <b>relevant</b> , <b>specific</b> claims/ideas that support the argument/thesis		<ul> <li>Includes relevant, specific, and significant claims/ ideas that support the argument/thesis</li> </ul>
<b>COUNTERCLAIMS*</b> What is the evidence that the student can address counterclaims?	Counterclaims are absent or simply mentioned		Discusses     counterclaims		Develops and responds to counterclaims		<ul> <li>Develops and responds to counterclaims in a way that sharpens the argument</li> </ul>
<b>EVIDENCE</b> What is the evidence that the student can support the argument/thesis?	<ul> <li>Refers to minimal textual evidence relevant to argument/thesis</li> <li>Evidence is used in an awkward or confusing way</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Refers to limited textual evidence relevant to argument/thesis</li> <li>Evidence is unevenly integrated into the writing</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Refers to strong and thorough textual evidence relevant to argument/thesis</li> <li>Evidence is smoothly integrated into the writing</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Refers to extensive and comprehensive textual evidence relevant to argument/thesis</li> <li>Evidence is strategically integrated in a way that enhances the analysis and development of ideas</li> </ul>
<b>ANALYSIS OF IDEAS</b> What is the evidence that the student can analyze and interpret ideas in the text?	<ul> <li>Demonstrates minimal understanding of text(s)</li> <li>Summarizes but does not analyze or evaluate ideas or themes</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Demonstrates a basic understanding of text(s)</li> <li>Summarizes and attempts to analyze the central ideas or themes</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Demonstrates comprehensive understanding of text(s), including both explicit and inferred meanings</li> <li>Analyzes central ideas or themes and their development over the course of the text(s)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Demonstrates comprehensive and nuanced understanding of text(s), including both explicit and inferred meanings</li> <li>Analyzes complex or multiple ideas or themes and their development and interaction over the course of the text(s)</li> </ul>
ANALYSIS OF AUTHOR'S CRAFT* What is the evidence that the student can analyze author's choices and purpose?	<ul> <li>Makes no reference to the author's point of view or purpose in a text</li> <li>Makes no reference to author's choices to support central ideas or themes</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Briefly notes the author's point of view or purpose in a text</li> <li>Briefly refers to the author's choices that support central ideas or themes</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Determines the author's point of view or purpose in a text and its impact on overall meaning</li> <li>Analyzes how author's choices support central ideas or themes</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Evaluates author's point of view or purpose in a text and its impact on overall meaning and credibility of ideas</li> <li>Analyzes how author's choices support central ideas or themes and contribute to the effectiveness of the text</li> </ul>

\*Not all textual analyses will require the addressing of counterclaims or analysis of author's craft.



© 2013 by The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford University and Envision Schools. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License</u> and should be attributed as follows: "*NTN Knowledge and Thinking Rubric for ELA Analysis, Grade 12,* was authored by Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, & Equity (SCALE), Envision Schools, and New Tech Network."