



NTN Knowledge and Thinking Rubric for ELA Research or Argumentation, Grade 12

The ability to reason, problem-solve, develop sound arguments or decisions, and create new ideas by using appropriate sources and applying the knowledge and skills of a discipline.

| EM | ERGING | E/ D | DEVELOPING | D/ P | PROFICIENT College Ready | P/ A | ADVANCED College Level |
|---|--|---------|--|---------|---|---------|--|
| ARGUMENT/THESIS What is the evidence that the student can communicate an argument/thesis? | Argument/Thesis is unclear and/or reflects erroneous thinking | | Argument/thesis is clear, but general and reflects passive reading or thinking | | Argument/thesis is clear and demonstrates engaged reading and critical thinking | | Argument/thesis is clear and demonstrates engaged reading and nuanced critical thinking |
| CLAIMS/SUPPORTING IDEAS What is the evidence that the student can develop claims that support the argument/thesis? | Includes unclear or irrelevant claims/supporting ideas | | Includes claims/supporting ideas relevant to the argument/thesis | | Includes relevant, specific claims/ideas that support the argument/thesis | | Includes relevant, specific, and significant claims/ideas that develop the argument/thesis |
| COUNTERCLAIMS What is the evidence that the student can address questions and counterclaims? | Counterclaims are absent or simply mentioned | | Discusses questions or counterclaims | | Develops and responds to questions or counterclaims | | Develops and responds to questions and/or counterclaims in a way that sharpens the argument |
| EVIDENCE What is the evidence that the student can support the argument/thesis? | Evidence, information, and examples are missing, minimal, or not relevant Evidence is used in an awkward or confusing way | | Refers to limited but relevant evidence, information, and examples Evidence is unevenly integrated into the writing | | Refers to thorough, varied*, and well-chosen evidence, information, and examples Evidence is smoothly integrated into the writing | | Refers to the most significant, comprehensive and varied evidence, information, and examples Evidence is strategically integrated in a way that enhances the analysis and development of ideas |
| ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS What is the evidence that the student can analyze and synthesize ideas? | Summarizes but does not analyze evidence to support the argument Draws superficial connections or conclusions from the evidence Presents evidence without noting strengths, limitations, or discrepancies between sources (when appropriate) | | Summarizes and attempts to analyze evidence to support the argument Draws general or broad connections or conclusions from the evidence Acknowledges and attempts to explain strengths or limitations of evidence, and/or discrepancies between sources (when appropriate) | | Analyzes evidence to support the argument Makes specific connections and draws meaningful conclusions from the evidence Explains the strengths and limitations of evidence, addressing any discrepancies between sources (when appropriate) | | Analyzes and critiques evidence to support the argument Makes insightful connections, draws meaningful conclusions and raises important implications from the evidence Explains the strengths and limitations of evidence, addressing any discrepancies (when appropriate) and analyzes how the strengths, limitations, and/or discrepancies affect the argument |

*Varied evidence is drawn from multiple sources to illustrate multiple points of view and/or represent multiple types of evidence



© 2013 by The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford University and Envision Schools. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License</u> and should be attributed as follows: "*NTN Knowledge and Thinking Rubric for ELA Research or Argumentation (Grade 12)* was authored by Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, & Equity (SCALE), Envision Schools, and New Tech Network."