



## CRITICAL THINKING / PROBLEM SOLVING RUBRIC (GRADES 9-12)

Scoring Domain	Emerging	E/D	DEVELOPING	D/P	PROFICIENT	P/A	Advanced
<b>PROBLEM</b> <b>FORMULATION</b> What is the evidence that the student can identify a clear and specific problem, challenge or question to investigate?	<ul> <li>Identifies an unclear problem, challenge, or question to investigate based on incomplete or irrelevant information gathered from the context</li> <li>Asks questions about the problem that are off track.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies a broad or general problem, challenge, or question to investigate based on incomplete but relevant information gathered from an authentic, real world context</li> <li>Asks questions to break down the problem, propose explanations, and to guide the inquiry that are generally reasonable but not supported by a knowledge base</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies a clear and specific problem, challenge, or question to investigate based on relevant information gathered from an authentic, real world context</li> <li>Asks focused questions to break down the problem, propose reasonable, knowledge-based explanations, and to guide the inquiry</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies with precision a clear and specific problem, challenge, or question to investigate based on relevant information gathered from an authentic, real world context</li> <li>Asks questions to break down the problem, propose explanations, and to guide the inquiry that are focused, precise, and well grounded in a knowledge base</li> </ul>
ANALYZE EVIDENCE What is the evidence that the student can analyze and evaluate the credibility of sources?	<ul> <li>Summarizes         <ul> <li>information and arguments from sources and treats all evidence as equally credible                 OR</li> <li>One source dominates</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Summarizes information and arguments from sources and begins to question the credibility of some of the evidence		• Analyzes information or arguments from sources, taking into account author point of view, purpose, or other relevant context information, to question and make judgments about their credibility		• Thoroughly analyzes and evaluates information and arguments from sources, taking into account author point of view, purpose, or other relevant context information, to select credible sources
APPLY / TRANSFER KNOWLEDGE (REASONING) What is the evidence that the student can develop an accurate understanding of a topic and apply/ transfer their knowledge to a new situation ?	<ul> <li>Relies primarily on one source to develop an over-simplified understanding of a topic/issue</li> <li>Makes broad generalizations that are based on faulty reasoning OR overgeneralizes</li> <li>Understanding of the problem at hand is incomplete</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies broad or general relationships among evidence from diverse sources (e.g., compare and contrast) to build a reasonable understanding of a topic/issue</li> <li>Makes broad but reasonable generalizations</li> <li>Draws connections from generalizations to develop a general understanding the problem at hand</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies clear and specific relationships among evidence from diverse sources (e.g., compare and contrast, classify, cause and effect, process and product) to build an accurate understanding of a topic/issue</li> <li>Makes logical, evidence-based generalizations</li> <li>Draws specific connections and extends generalizations to develop an accurate understanding of the problem at hand</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Develops a systems model to precisely represent the complex relationships among evidence from diverse sources to build a credible, knowledge-based, complex understanding of a topic/issue</li> <li>Makes logical, evidence-based generalizations, with an understanding of their limitations and exceptions</li> <li>Draws specific connections and extends generalizations to develop an accurate and complete understanding of the problem at hand</li> </ul>

© 2012 by The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford University and Envision Schools. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License</u> and should be attributed as follows: "*Critical Thinking/Problem Solving Rubric (Grade 9-12)* was authored by Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, & Equity (SCALE) and Envision Schools."





SCORING DOMAIN	EMERGING	E/D	DEVELOPING	D/P	PROFICIENT	P/A	Advanced
<b>PROBLEM SOLVE</b> What is the evidence that the student can identify, weigh, and select from multiple approaches to solve a problem?	<ul> <li>Identifies an approach to solve the problem, answer the question, or meet the challenge that is not likely to succeed or is based on guesswork</li> <li>Explanation for the proposed solution or approach is unclear</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies one plausible approach to solve the problem, answer the question, or meet the challenge</li> <li>Explains clearly why the proposed solution or approach is likely to be effective</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies multiple plausible approaches to solve the problem, answer the question, or meet the challenge</li> <li>Weighs the relative effectiveness of proposed solutions or approaches and selects an approach that is likely to be effective, with clear, detailed explanations supported by evidence</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identifies multiple plausible approaches to solve the problem, answer the question, or meet the challenge, including the limitations of each approach</li> <li>Weighs the relative effectiveness of proposed solutions or approaches and selects the approach most likely to be effective, with clear, detailed, and convincing explanations supported by evidence</li> </ul>
EVALUATE & REFLECT What is the evidence that the student can evaluate the effectiveness of an approach, or propose a new course of action when faced with unexpected/ unsuccessful results?	<ul> <li>Does not acknowledge alternative explanation or interpretation, unanswered question, potential source of error, or limitation of proposed approach</li> <li>Dismisses unexpected or unsuccessful results without attempting to identify possible reasons or alternative approaches</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Briefly alludes to one alternative explanation or interpretation, unanswered question, potential source of error, or limitation of proposed approach</li> <li>When faced with unexpected or unsuccessful results, attempts to identify a possible reason, and makes a surface-level revision to the approach</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Acknowledges alternative explanations or interpretations, unanswered questions, potential sources of error, or limitations of proposed approach to solve the problem, answer the question, or meet the challenge</li> <li>When faced with unexpected or unsuccessful results, identifies multiple explanatory factors, and proposes a logical course of action that is likely to be effective</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Acknowledges and responds to alternative explanations or interpretations, unanswered questions, potential sources of error, or limitations of proposed approach to strengthen or revise the approach</li> <li>When faced with unexpected or unsuccessful results, weighs multiple explanatory factors, and proposes a logical course of action that is most likely to be effective</li> </ul>

